Can employment be increased only at the cost of more inequality?

Engines for More and Better Jobs in Europe

ZEW Conference, Mannheim April 2013

Torben M Andersen Aarhus University

Policy questions

How to:

- Maintain/increase employment rates
- Ensure equitable income distribution
- Is education the answer?
- Many structural problems have their root in weak qualifications

Labour market trends

- Structural: Technology + globalization
 - = skill-bias
 - = task-bias
- Consequences:
 - Widening wage inequality
 - Declining employment rates

Increasing inequality



Policy dilemma

- Increasing inequality
- Scope for redistribution is constrained
 - Public finances are under pressure
 - Trade-off between efficiency and equity more steep trade-off due to globalization, structural changes etc.

Trade-off – efficiency and equity in the labour market



Active vs passive redistribution policies

- Redistribution: taxes and social safety net
- Passive: Attempts to repair market outcomes (financing requirement, insurance, distortions).

Alternative:

 Active: Forming market outcomes via education/qualifications – structural change

Education and the labour market



Micro evidence:

- Strong educational gradient
 - Employment
 - Wage
 - Job security
 - Retirement
 - Health
 - Social participation

The redistributional trinity



Equality under pressure



Employment gaps Low education relative to medium education



Large group with no education

Population share - at least upper-secondary school education



2010

NEET: Not in employment, education or training, 15-24 age



Demographics

- Educational explosion in +/- 1960s
- Young generations significantly better educated than older
- Strong driver for changed skill-composition of labour force
- Forward demographic tail wind ceases

Empirical literature

- Skill-bias in labour demand
- Race between technology + globalization and education
- Country-studies: Improving the skillcomposition of the workforce has been instrumental in countering the consequences of skill-bias in labour demand

Why public education and labour market training?

- Capital market imperfections
- Myopia
- Externalities
- Imperfections in private markets
- Social barriers
- Redistribution

Active vs passive redistribution: Theoretical arguments

- Existing literature
 - Education on the intensive margin
 - Interaction: Abilities and education
- Regressive bias: Educational activities should be directed towards the more able!
- Income distribution "repaired" via passive instruments

Robust finding?

Human capital:

Binding constraints:

- Intensive margin
- Extensive margin increasing the share of "skilled"

- Capital markets?
- Social barriers

Empirical evidence Social barriers

- Strong social gradient in education
 - Entry
 - Performance
- Social gradient present even if economic barriers are minimized

Social path dependence





Education as active redistribution

- Extensive margin + social barriers
- Public education can affect the share of skilled
- Taxes finance education and transfers
- Wage distribution depends on skill composition of labour supply

Tail winds from a change in skill composition of labour supply:

 More skilled - less unskilled: More compressed wage structure

Public budget: Less transfers more tax revenue

Redistribution

- Does tax revenue spent on education buy more redistribution than passive redistribution?
- Passive redistribution: Differences in marginal utilities of consumption
- Active redistribution: Differences in levels of utility

The efficiency-equity trade-off



Active vs passive redistribution?

- Active redistribution part of the optimal package (utilitarian criterion)
- More active redistribution less passive redistribution
- Skill-bias: More active redistribution under plausible conditions

Policy implications

- Education an important structural factor
 - Employment
 - Wage structure
- Large "residual group" is a structural problem in the labour market which is difficult to solve via "passive" policies

Quantity vs quality

- Empirical work on productivity
 - Education is important
 - Quality more than quantity
 - Base more than top
- Too much focus on quantitative measures?
- How do we ensure quality in education?

Educational inflation?

- Too much focus on tertiary education?
- Korean lesson: unbalanced educational system with too much focus on tertiary education
 - High unemployment rates for highly educated
 - Shortage of skilled workers

Educational financing

- Social barriers are most important in early schooling/education
- More specific education/training generate rents which can be appropriated by employers/employees – less need for public intervention
- More value for money!

Europe 2020 – Five headline targets

1. Employment

- 75 % of the 20-64 year-olds to be employed

2. R&D / innovation

 3 % of the EU's GDP (public and private combined) to be invested in R&D/innovation

3. Climate change / energy

- greenhouse gas emissions 20 % (or even 30 %, if the conditions are right) lower than 1990
- 20 % of energy from renewables
 - 20 % increase in energy efficiency

4. Education

- Reducing school drop-out rates below 10 %
- at least 40 % of 30-34-year-olds completing third level education

5. Poverty / social exclusion

at least 20 million fewer people in or at risk of poverty and social exclusion