

Advantages to Size in Banking: The Price and Management of Reserves

by F. Fecht / K. Nyborg / J. Rocholl

Discussion

Michael Schröder, ZEW

Mannheim, November 23, 2006

Summary (1): Research Question, Data

- **Central question:** What do banks pay for the funds „they are required to hold with the central bank“?
- **Analysis** of the **behaviour** and **characteristics** of banks participating in the **weekly repo auctions** of the **ECB**
- **Period:** June 2000 – Dec. 2001 = **78 auctions**
- About **2500** German banks, thereof **1/3 = bidders**

Summary (2): Model Structure – Heckit Model

- **Equation 1:** probability of participating in the auction
- **Equation 2:** explanation of
 - premium (bid),
 - premium (paid),
 - award ratio,
 - demand to required reserves-ratio
- Same **explanatory variables** in both equations:

Size, fulfillment of reserves, net excess reserves,
type of the bank (dummy var.), auction number (= time trend)

Summary (3): „Advantage to Size in Banking“

- **Probability of participation:**
 - **Size (+)**, fulfillment (-)
 - Savings banks (+), cooperative and foreign banks (-)
- **Premium (bid, paid):**
 - **Size (-)**
 - Savings banks (-), cooperative and foreign banks (+)
- **Demand to required reserves:**
 - **Size (-) [all banks]**, normalised excess reserves (-)
 - Savings and cooperative banks (-)
- **Economic interpretation regarding „premium“ = ?**

Remarks and Questions (1)

- As **both equations** consist of **(almost) the same variables**:
 - **Direct interpretation** of the **magnitude** of the coefficients is **not possible**
→ calculation of **marginal effects** (and significance levels)
 - **Identification** of coefficients relies on **non-linearity** of inverse Mills ratio $\{\lambda = \text{normal dens. } (w'\gamma) / \text{normal cdf } (w'\gamma)\}$

but function is **in some parts almost linear!**

(see e.g. Puhani (2000), The Heckman Correction for Sample Selection and Its Critique – A Short Survey, Journal of Economic Surveys vol. 14)

Remarks and Questions (2)

- This may lead to **collinearity** between x and λ ($\rightarrow$ Test of coll.)
- As a consequence estimation of β - and γ -coefficients **might be very sensitive to the specification**
 - $\rightarrow$ extended **sensitivity analysis** might be appropriate!
- **Additional variables** that are included **only in one** of the equations may be helpful for identification and stable results
- Is **normal distribution** really applicable?