### **APPENDICES**

### Appendix A: From equations (11a)-(11e) to equations (12a)-(12c).

(12a) and (12b) follow if we differentiate the definitions  $z \equiv \frac{c}{k}$  and  $\mathbf{y} \equiv \mathbf{g}k$  with respect to time and then use (11c)-(11e). To get (12c), we take logarithms on both sides of (11a), differentiate with respect to time and substitute (11b)-(11e).

### **Appendix B: Proof of Proposition 1.**

Equation (15) in the text is an equation in  $\vec{q}$  only. Since the right-hand-side (RHS) is negative (because (1 - a - q) < 0 along the optimal path), the left-hand-side (LHS) must be negative too. Consider first the RHS. For  $\tilde{q} \to (1-a)$ , it tends to minus infinity. For  $\tilde{q} \to 1$ , it becomes a negative number; in particular,  $-\frac{nr}{a}$ . Also, the RHS is monotonically increasing in  $\widetilde{q}$  . Consider now the LHS. For  $\widetilde{q} \to (1-a)$  , it becomes a negative number.  $\tilde{q} \rightarrow 1$ , it For becomes another negative number; particular,  $A = -\left(\mathbf{a}^{\frac{1}{a}} b^{\frac{1-a}{a}}\right) \left[1 + \frac{\mathbf{n}}{N} \left(1 + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{d}}}{\widetilde{G}_{\mathbf{V}}}\right)\right] - \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}\hat{\mathbf{d}}}{1-b}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{d}}}{\widetilde{G}_{\mathbf{V}}}\right). \text{ Also, if } \left(1 + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{d}}}{\widetilde{G}_{\mathbf{V}}}\right) > 0$ condition (16a) in the text), the LHS is decreasing in  $\tilde{q}$  (this is a sufficient condition). Therefore, if  $-\frac{n\mathbf{r}}{a} > A$  (which is condition (16b) in the text), the LHS and the RHS intersect once as shown in Figure 1. This completes Proposition 1.

# Appendix C: The Jacobian matrix in equation (18).

The elements of the Jacobian matrix evaluated in steady state are:

$$\begin{split} J_{zz} &\equiv \frac{\cancel{1}z}{\cancel{1}z} = \mathbf{r} + \hat{\mathbf{d}} > 0, \ J_{zy} \equiv \frac{\cancel{1}z}{\cancel{1}y} = 0, \ J_{zq} \equiv \frac{\cancel{1}z}{\cancel{1}q} = 0, \\ J_{yz} &\equiv \frac{\cancel{1}y}{\cancel{1}z} = -\widetilde{y} < 0, \ J_{yy} \equiv \frac{\cancel{1}y}{\cancel{1}y} = 0, \ J_{yq} \equiv \frac{\cancel{1}y}{\cancel{1}q} = \frac{\mathbf{n}\hat{\mathbf{d}}}{\mathbf{a}(1-b)\widetilde{\mathbf{q}}^2\Delta(\widetilde{\mathbf{q}})} > 0, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} J_{qz} &\equiv \frac{\mathbf{\Pi} \, \dot{\mathbf{q}}}{\mathbf{\Pi} z} = 0 \;, \; J_{qy} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{\Pi} \, \dot{\mathbf{q}}}{\mathbf{\Pi} y} = \hat{\mathbf{d}} \Phi(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}) < 0 \;, \\ J_{qq} &\equiv \frac{\mathbf{\Pi} \, \dot{\mathbf{q}}}{\mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{q}} = \Phi(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}) \left[ \frac{\mathbf{n} \mathbf{r} \Delta(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}) [1 - \tilde{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{a}(2 - \mathbf{a})]}{\mathbf{a} \tilde{\mathbf{q}} \left[ \Delta(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}) (1 - \mathbf{a} - \tilde{\mathbf{q}}) \right]^2} + \frac{\mathbf{n} \hat{\mathbf{d}}}{\mathbf{a} (1 - b) \tilde{\mathbf{q}}^2 \Delta(\tilde{\mathbf{q}})} \right] \end{split}$$

## **Appendix D: Transitional Dynamics.**

If  $J_{qq}>0$ , there are three sign alterations in (19), so that Descartes' Theorem (which states that the number of positive roots cannot be higher than the number of sign alterations) implies that there are at most three positive roots. Now define  $\mathbf{b}'\equiv -\mathbf{b}$ . In this case, there are no sign alterations in (19). Hence, we cannot have a positive root for  $\mathbf{b}'$ , so that we cannot have a negative root for  $\mathbf{b}$ . Combining these results, it follows that when  $J_{qq}>0$ , there are three positive roots. Hence, there is local determinacy.

If  $J_{qq} < 0$  so that  $[(\mathbf{r} + \hat{\mathbf{d}})J_{qq} + \frac{\det(J)}{(\mathbf{r} + \hat{\mathbf{d}})}] < 0$ , there is one sign alteration in (19) and so at most one positive root. Now define  $\mathbf{b}' \equiv -\mathbf{b}$ . In this case, there are two sign alterations in (19), and so at most two positive roots for  $\mathbf{b}'$ , or equivalently at most two negative roots for  $\mathbf{b}$ . Combining these results, it follows that when  $[(\mathbf{r} + \hat{\mathbf{d}})J_{qq} + \frac{\det(J)}{(\mathbf{r} + \hat{\mathbf{d}})}] < 0$  (which is condition (20) in the text), there is one positive and two negative roots. Hence, there is local indeterminacy.

### **REFERENCES**

- Aghion P. and P. Bolton [1997]: A trickle-down theory of growth and development with debt overhang, *Review of Economic Studies*, 64, 151-162.
- Aghion P. and P. Howitt [1998]: *Endogenous Growth Theory*. MIT Press. Cambridge, Mass.
- Aghion P. and J. Williamson [1998]: *Growth, Inequality and Globalization*. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, UK.
- Alesina A. and D. Rodrik [1994]: Distributive politics and economic growth, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 109, 465-490.
- Barro R. [1990]: Government spending in a simple model of endogenous growth, *Journal of Political Economy*, 98, S103-S125.
- Barro R. [1999]: Inequality, growth and investment, *NBER*, *Working Paper*, no. 7038, Cambridge, Mass.
- Barro R. and X. Sala-i-Martin [1995]: *Economic Growth*. McGraw Hill. New York.
- Benabou R. [1996]: Inequality and growth, NBER Macroeconomics Annual, 11, 11-92.
- Benhabib J., S. Jafarey and K. Nishimura [1988]: The dynamics of efficient intertemporal allocations with many agents, recursive preferences and production, *Journal of Economic Theory*, 44, 301-320.
- Benhabib J. and A. Roustichini [1996]: Social conflict and growth, *Journal of Economic Growth*, 1, 129-146.
- Bewley T. [1982]: An integration of equilibrium theory and turnpike theory, *Journal of Mathematical Economics*, 10, 284-306.
- Epstein L. [1987]: The global stability of efficient intertemporal allocations, *Econometrica*, 55, 329-355.
- Fernandez R. and R. Rogerson [1995]: On the political economy of education subsidies, *Review of Economic Studies*, LXII, 249-262.
- Fernandez R. and R. Rogerson [1996]: Income distribution, communities and the quality of public education, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, CVVI, 135-164.

- Glomm G. and B. Ravikumar [1992]: Public versus private investment in human capital: endogenous growth and income inequality, *Journal of Political Economy*, 100, 818-834.
- Greenwood J. and B. Jovanonic [1990]: Financial development, growth and the distribution of income, *Journal of Political Economy*, 98, 1076-1107.
- Kehoe T., D. Levine and P. Romer [1990]: Determinacy of equilibria in dynamic models with finitely many consumers, *Journal of Economic Theory*, 50, 1-21.
- Krusell P., V. Quadrini and J.V. Rios-Rull [1997]: Politico-economic equilibrium and economic growth, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 21, 243-272.
- Loury G. [1981]: Intergenerational transfers and the distribution of earnings, *Econometrica*, 49, 843-867.
- Park H. and A. Philippopoulos [1998]: Optimal taxes and endogenous growth with consumption and production government services, *Athens University of Economics and Business, Discussion Paper*, no. 98-06, Athens, Greece.
- Perotti R. [1993]: Political equilibrium, income distribution and growth, *Review of Economic Studies*, 60, 755-776.
- Persson T. and G. Tabellini [1994a]: Is inequality harmful for growth?, *American Economic Review*, 84, 599-621.
- Rebelo S. [1991]: Long-run policy analysis and long-run growth, *Journal of Political Economy*, 99, 500-521.
- Sandmo A. [1995]: Introduction: The welfare economics of the welfare state, *Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 97, 469-476.
- Turnovsky S. and W. Fisher [1995]: The composition of government expenditure and its consequences for macroeconomic performance, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 19, 747-786.
- Yano M. [1981]: Trade, capital accumulation and competitive markets. *Ph.D. Thesis. University of Rochester*.