

Aims of the Study

- Is there a correlation between self-regulation measured by questionnaires and academic success of university students?
- Do some aspects of self-regulation (e.g., promotion motivation, action orientation after failure) predict academic achievement in German high schools and universities better than other aspects of self-regulation?



Research in the USA

Positive correlation between self-regulation and performance

 Haggard (1957): students with high self-regulation skills showed higher performance than students that were more intelligent but only had low SR skills (see also Zimmerman & Martinez-Pons, 1986, 1990)

- Duckworth & Seligman (2005): SR predicts academic performance better than IQ
- Duckworth & Seligman (2006): girls show higher SR skills and better grades than boys



Research in Germany

Positive correlation between self-regulation and performance

- Spörer & Brunstein (2005): 215 8th graders of different German schools showed a positive correlation between academic performance and selfregulation
- Spörer et al. (2006): in a longitudinal study 8th and 9th graders high in selfregulation showed an increase in math performance



Background

Theories on self-regulation

- 1. Theory of Action and State Orientation (Kuhl, 1983, 1991)
- 2. Regulatory Focus Theory (Higgins, 1997, 1998)



Theory of Action and State Orientation (Kuhl, 1990)

Action Orientation After Failure vs. Preoccupation (AOF):

Degree to which one is able to stop ruminating about an aversive event

Decision-Related Action Orientation vs. Hesitation (AOD):

- ✤ Being initiative or hesitating
- Deliberating whether to identify with an intention

Performance-Related Action Orientation vs. Volatility (AOP):

- Degree to which one is able to stay with a goal-directed activity
- Students high in AOF, AOD, and AOP should have higher academic achievements than Students low in these scales



Regulatory Focus Theory (Higgins, 1991)

Promotion Focus:

- Desire to attain positive outcomes (success)
- Trying to make progress and to be successful
- ✤ More persistent during task performance (Förster et al., 1998)

Prevention Focus:

- Desire to avoiding negative outcomes (failure)
- Trying to be secure
- Less persistent (Förster et al., 1998)
- Students high in promotion focus should have higher academic achievements than students high in prevention focus



Hypotheses

- Students with high promotion motivation have better high school and university grades than students with high prevention motivation
- Students who are action oriented show higher academic achievement than students who are state oriented



Participants

- ✤ 60 students of the University of Konstanz
- ✤ Women: 30; Men: 30
- ✤ Age: *M* = 24.5, *SD* = 2.39
- Mean number of semesters: M = 7.73, SD = 3.47



Procedure

- Completing one of two questionnaires on self-regulation (RFQ, Higgins et al., 2001; ACS, Kuhl, 1990)
 - \succ RFQ \Rightarrow two subscales: promotion focus and prevention focus
 - ➤ ACS ⇒ three subscales: action orientation after failure (AOF), decision-related action orientation (AOD), performance-related action orientation (AOP)
- Completing a questionnaire on academic achievement (grades) in high school and on university grades, gender, and age



Equivalence of groups:

- No differences between the two groups of participants (RFQ vs. ACS) concerning age, number of semesters, grades in high school (all *F*s < 1)
- Equal numbers of women and men in both groups



 $R^2 = .31$ (corrected); F(3,24) = 3.6; p < .05

	Standardized Regression Coefficient (β)	Т	Significance
AOF	.45**	2.39	.02
AOD	.21	1.1	.28
AOP	05	27	.78

Regression of Action Orientation After Failure, Decision-Related Action Orientation, and Performance-Related Action Orientation on High School Achievement



 $R^2 = .02$ (corrected); F(3, 20) = 1.16; p = .35

	Standardized Regression Coefficient (β)	Т	Significance
AOF	.39*	1.69	.10
AOD	01	04	.97
AOP	.14	.63	.53

Regression of Action Orientation After Failure, Decision-Related Action Orientation, and Performance-Related Action Orientation on University Achievement



 $R^2 = .13$ (corrected); F(4, 18) = 1.79; p = .17

	Standardized		
	Regression Coefficient (β)	Т	Significance
AOF	.23	.947	.36
AOD	14	60	.56
AOP	.18	.87	.39
High School Grades	.45	1.82	.08

Regression of Action Orientation After Failure, Decision-Related Action Orientation, Performance-Related Action Orientation, and High School Performance on University Achievement



 R^2 = -.03 (corrected), F < 1

	Standardized		
	Regression Coefficient (β)	Т	Significance
Promotion Fokus	17	< 1	n.s.
Prevention Fokus	17	< 1	n.s.

Regression of Promotion Focus and Prevention Focus on High School Achievement



$R^2 = .20$ (corrected), F(2, 24) = 4.2, p < .05

	Standardized		
	Regression Coefficient (β)	Т	Significance
Promotion Fokus	46**	-2.62	.01
Prevention Fokus	.10	.54	.59

Regression of Promotion Focus and Prevention Focus on University Achievement



 R^2 = .18 (corrected), F(3, 23) = 2.9, p = .05

	Standardized		
	Regression Coefficient (β)	Т	Significance
Promotion	46*	2.40	02
Fokus	40	-2.40	.02
Prevention Fokus	.13	.69	.50
High School Grades	.15	.82	.42

Regression of Promotion Focus, Prevention Focus, and High School Performance on University Achievement



Summary and Discussion

- 1. Promotion focus predicts academic achievement at university but not at high school
 - > The impact of the PF was stronger than that of high school performance (!)
- 2. Prevention focus did not predict academic achievement at university as well as at high school
- 3. The impact of promotion focus and prevention focus on academic achievement in the university was independent from high school performance
- 4. Strong correlation between action orientation after failure and academic achievement at high school and University ⇒ high action orientation originally was assumed as focusing strongly on current goals after experiencing failure, by ignoring the failure, controlling emotional distress and so on ⇒ however, this might be counterproductive!



Thanks to...

- ... Isabelle Bolz
- ... Tobias Gronbach
- ... Patricia Heppler
- ... Eliza Latein



Action orientation after failure vs. preoccupation (AOF):

When I have lost something that is very valuable to me and I can`t find it anywhere:

A. I have a hard time concentrating on something else

B. I put it out of my mind after a little while

Decision-related action orientation vs. hesitation (AOD):

When I know I must finish something soon:

A. I have to push myself to get started

B. I find it easy to get it done and over with

Performance-related action orientation vs. volatility (AOP):

When I`m working on something that`s important to me:

A. I still like to do other things in between working

B. I get into it so much that I can work on it for a

on it

Universität Konstanz



long time

Results: Regulatory Focus Questionnaire (Higgins et al., 2001)

	Achievement in High School (Abitur)	Achievement in University
Regulatory Focus Questionnaire (Higgins et al., 2001; n = 30)		
 Promotion Focus 		
 Prevention Focus 	r =	



Results: Self-Control Scale and Regulation Focus Questionnaire (Higgins et al., 2001)

	Achievement in High School (Abitur)		Achievement in University	
	Women	Men	Women	Men
Self-Control Scale (Tangney et al., 2004)				
Regulation Focus Questionnaire (Higgins et al., 2001) Promotion Prevention				



Results: HAKEMP 90 (Kuhl, 1990)

	Achievement in High School (Abitur)	Achievement in University
(Action Orientation After Failure; HOM)		
(НОТ		
Capability of Planning Actions (HOP)		



Results: HAKEMP 90 (Kuhl, 1990)

	Achievement in High School (Abitur)	Achievement in University
	Women Men	Women Men
(Action Orientation After Failure; HOM)		
(HOT		
Capability of Planning Actions (HOP)		



